RUTGERS, THE STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW JERSEY PROGRAM IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE

CRIMINAL LAW: THEORY AND PRACTICE FALL 2017 01:202:309:01 T/Th 5-6:20 TIL - 116

Instructor: Philip Nettl, Esq.
Phone: (732) 745-9000
Email: philnettl@gmail.com
Office Hours: by appointment

Learning Goals: A Statement of Principles

The Program Committee for the Program in Criminal Justice at Rutgers University in New Brunswick has adopted a series of learning goals for students who complete the major. These goals represent the consensus of the faculty regarding the concepts a student should grasp and the skills a student should acquire in the course of completing the major. These goals guide the choices faculty make about the structure of the curriculum and the requirements for our majors. Moreover, they guide faculty and instructors preparing course material and teaching courses.

The Program in Criminal Justice will provide students with a rich understanding of crime and criminal justice in the United States and abroad through an interdisciplinary approach that blends a strong liberal arts educational experience with pre-professional instruction in the field of criminal justice. Graduates of the program will be well-informed citizens on the topic of crime and justice, and qualified for graduate study or for employment as practitioners in a variety of legal, policymaking, and law enforcement fields.

Criminal justice majors graduating from a research university should be able to use critical thinking, factual inquiry, and the scientific approach to solve problems related to individual and group behavior. In addition, students should have an understanding of the legal, political and policymaking processes that affect criminal justice systems in the United States and elsewhere in the world. Finally, students should be familiar with the institutional structures and latest developments in the field in order to engage in meaningful debate about current public policy issues.

Learning Goals for Criminal Justice Majors

1. Competence:

a.) **Theory.** Students who complete the major in criminal justice should understand and be able to articulate, both orally and in writing, the core

theoretical concepts that form the foundation of analysis and research in criminology and criminal justice today. Core concepts are derived from explanations of crime from a variety of perspectives, including biogenic, psychological, and sociological approaches. There are myriad theories of crime that are informed by these perspectives, including, classical, control, critical, ecology, labeling, learning, strain, and trait-based approaches. Theoretical literacy should extend to multicultural and international understanding.

- b.) **Institutions.** Students who complete the major in criminal justice should understand the special role of three types of institutions: Police, Corrections, and Courts. In addition, students should know how institutional forms vary across jurisdictions and how these institutions interact with and influence each other.
- c.) Research Methods. Students who complete the criminal justice major should be familiar with the tools, techniques, and data sources necessary for empirical analysis. Students should understand the various ways that empirical analysis is used in the scientific approach: for description, for developing, and for testing theories. They should be able to analyze data using computer applications and should be familiar with basic statistical techniques and regression analysis. They should be able to read and assess research from a wide range of sources, including general interest, academic, and government publications.
- 2. **Critical Thinking:** Upon completion of the major students should be able to apply their understanding of core concepts and quantitative tools to analyze and research real world problems, and evaluate alternative policy proposals on a range of criminal justice issues, from micro-level analyses relevant to particular cases to management concerns to macro-level analyses of legislative and other broad-scale policies. Accomplishment of this goal will require that students can apply their literacy and numeracy skills to different institutional structures, within the U.S. and across countries.
- 3. **Scholarship:** Qualified majors should have an opportunity through such avenues as advanced coursework, internships, and faculty interactions to conduct independent research on matters of central relevance to the field of criminal justice.



COURSE OBJECTIVES

This course will provide students with a solid foundation to enable those who will, in greater or lesser degree, participate directly in the process of the criminal law and for others an understanding of the Criminal Law sufficient to bring an informed intelligence to the challenge of solving some of the most vexing problems of our times. Finally, students should be familiar with the institutional structures of the Courts, the Prosecution, the Defense and Law Enforcement in order to engage in meaningful debate about current Public Policy Issues, impacting the Criminal Law and its Processes.

GRADING:	Exam #1	40%

Exam #2 40% Presentation (written or oral) 10% Class participation 10%

The final grade will be assigned based upon the following scale:

A	=	90-100	C	=	70-74.9
B+	=	85-89.9	D	=	60-69.9
В	=	80-84.9	F	=	below 60
\mathbf{C}	_	74.70.0			

REQUIRED TEXTS

CRIMINAL LAWS AND ITS PROCESSES: CASES AND MATERIALS. (9th Edition). Kadish, S. H., Schulhofer, S. J., & Steiker, C. S. ISBN: 9781454817550

SUGGESTED READING

American Law Institute: Model Penal Code and Commentaries (1980-1985)

Encyclopedia of Crime and Justice (S.H. Kadish ed., Macmillan and Free Press, 1983)

Criminal Law by Wayne LaFave (West Publishing Company, 3rd Ed. 2000).

<u>Understanding Criminal Law</u> by Joshum Drossier (Mathew Bender, 2nd Ed. 1995)

<u>Criminal Law: The General Part</u> by Glanville William (2nd Ed. 1961).

Textbook of Criminal Law by Glanville Williams (2nd Ed. 1983).

Rethinking Criminal Law by George Fletcher (Little Brown 1978).

DISABILITY POLICY & ACCOMMODATIONS

- Full disability policies and procedures are at http://disabilityservices.rutgers.edu/
- Students with disabilities requesting accommodations must follow the procedures outlined at: http://disabilityservices.rutgers.edu/request.html

ACADEMIC DISHONESTY

Rutgers University has a well-defined academic integrity policy, which will strictly enforced in this class. All students in this class should review and be familiar with the policy of the university, which can be found at:

http://academicintegrity.rutgers.edu/files/documents/AI_Policy_9_01_2011.pdf

ATTENDANCE & PARTICIPATION

Students are expected to attend every class. Additionally, students are required to participate in class discussions throughout the semester. Ten percent of the course grade is based upon this performance. If you expect to miss one or two classes, please email me at philnettl@gmail.com to indicate the date and reason for your absence. You are expected to have the material read for the respective class.

COURSE OUTLINE

As in previous course at the 200 series, the substantive materials continue to focus on imparting an understanding of those basic principles and doctrines that come into play across the range of special offenses. While we do not believe that mastery of the detailed elements of many particular crimes is an appropriate goal for a basis undergraduate criminal law course. Nevertheless, it is important that students obtain an understanding of the basic principles as reflected in the detailed case studies of some particular offenses.

COURSE OUTLINE

September 5 <u>Introduction</u>: Course requirements; overview of criminal law

September 7,12:

I. HOW GUILT IS ESTABLISHED

*An overview of Criminal Trial Procedure

*The Adversary Trial Process *Conviction by Guilt Plea

CASE STUDIES:

People v. Zackowitz Duncan v. Louisiana U.S. v. Dougherty

September 14,19,21:

II. <u>JUSTIFICATION OF PUNISHMENT</u>

*Perspectives on Punishment

CASE STUDIES:

Regina v. Dudley & Stephens U.S. v. Bernard L. Madoff U.S. v. Gementera U.S. v. Jackson

*What to Punish

Bowers v. Hardwick Lawrence v. Texas

September 26, 28, October 3, 5, 10:

III.

DEFINING CRIMINAL CONDUCT-THE ELEMENTS OF JUST PUNISHMENT

- *Actus Reus Culpable Actions
- *Omissions
- *Mens Rea Culpable Mental Status
- *Mistakes of Fact
- *Mistake of Law
- *The Abandoment of Mens Rea
- *New Jersey Code of Criminal Justice 2C:3-1 thru 2C: 3-11,

2C:2-1 thru 2C:2-12

CASE STUDIES

Martin v. State

People v. Newton Pope v. State

Jones v. U.S.

Barber v. Superior Court

Regina v. Cunningham

Regina v. Faulkner

State v. Hazlewood

U.S. v. Jewell Regina v. Prince People v. Olsen Garnett v. State Morissette v. U.S. Staples v. U.S. State v. Guminga State v. Baker People v. Marrero Cheek v. U.S.

October 12 MIDTERM EXAM

October 17,19,24,26

IV. RAPE

*New Jersey Code 2C:14-1 thru 2C:14-12

- *Actus Reus
- *Deception
- *Mens Rea
- *Force, Nonconsent, and Resistance
- *The Marital Exemption
- *Problems of Proof
- *Cross-Examination and Shield Laws

CASE STUDIES:

State v. Rusk

State v. Thompson

State v. Mlinarich

State in the Interest of M.T.S.

M.C. v. Bulgaria

People v. Evans

Boro v. Superior Court

Commonwealth v. Sherry

Commonwealth v. Fischer

Tyson v. State

People v. Liberta

United States v. Wiley

State v. DeLawder

Government of Virgin Islands v. Scuito

October 31, November 2,7,9

V. **HOMICIDE**

- *New Jersey Code 2c:11-1 thru 2C:11-4
- *The Premeditation Deliberation Formula
- *The Provocation Formula
- *The Distinguishing Civil and Criminal Liability
- *The Line Between Murder and Manslaughter
- *The Felony-Murder Doctrine
- *The Death Penalty

CASE STUDIES:

Commonwealth v. Carroll

State v. Guthrie

Girouard v. State

Maher v. People

People v. Casassa

Commonwealth v. Welansky

People v. Hall

State v. Williams

Commonwealth v. Malone

U.S. v. Fleming

People v. Stamp

People v. Phillips

Hines v. State

People v. Burton

State v. Canola

November 14,16,21:

VI. THE SIGNIFICANCE OF RESULTING HARM

*New Jersey Code 2C:5-1 and 2C:2-3

*Causation

*Attempt

*Impossibility

CASE STUDIES:

People v. Acosta

People v. Arzon

People v. Warner Lambert

People v. Campbell

People v. Kevorkian

Stephenson v. State

People v. Kern

Commonwealth v. Root

Commonwealth v. McFadden

Commonwealth v. Atencio

Smallwood v. State

People v. Rizzo

McQuirter v. State

U.S. v. Jackson

U.S. v. Harper

U.S. v. Joyce

State v. Davis

November 30, December 5.7

VII. **EXCULPATION**

*New Jersey Code 2C:3 and 2C:4

*Principles of Justification

*Protection of Life Person

*Protection of Property and Law Enforcement

*Choice of the Lesser Evil-The Residual Principle of

Justification

- *Principles of Excuse
- *Duress
- *Intoxication
- *Mental Disorder
- *Changing Patterns of Excuse

CASE STUDIES:

People v. Goetz

State v. Kelly

State v. Norman

State v. Schroeder

Ha v. State

State v. Abbott

U.S. v. Patterson

People v. Ceballos

Durham v. State

Tennessee v. Garner

December 12 Review

TBD Final Exam